

In the Place of Sound:
Architecture | Music | Acoustics

In the Place of Sound:
Architecture | Music | Acoustics

Edited by

Colin Ripley with Marco Polo
and Arthur Wrigglesworth



Cambridge Scholars Publishing

In the Place of Sound: Architecture | Music | Acoustics, Edited by Colin Ripley
with Marco Polo and Arthur Wigglesworth

This book first published 2007 by

Cambridge Scholars Publishing

15 Angerton Gardens, Newcastle, NE5 2JA, UK

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright © 2007 by Colin Ripley with Marco Polo and Arthur Wigglesworth and contributors

All rights for this book reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN (10): 1-84718-375-1, ISBN (13): 9781847183750

Contents

Introduction: In the Place of Sound	1
<i>Colin Ripley</i>	
Section I: Ghost Spaces	
Vacant City Radio	15
<i>Anna Friz</i>	
A Broad Hat Does Not Always Cover a Vulnerable Head	27
<i>Anthony Elms</i>	
The Ventriloquist Effect in Architectural Design	37
<i>Upali Nanda</i>	
Inhabiting Soundscape: Architecture of the Unseen World	45
<i>Matteo Melioli</i>	
Section II: Translations	
Bebop Spaces: Master Takes	57
<i>Bennett Neiman</i>	
Designed by Music:	
An Investigation into the Processes of Using Music to Design Architecture	63
<i>Tomek Smierzchalski</i>	
Unfolding Space through Time:	
Dialogues of Linearity and Modularity in Musical and Architectural Scores	69
<i>Galia Hanoch-Roe</i>	
Strategies Against Architecture:	
Spatial Tensions in Einstürzende Neubauten	83
<i>Michael Chapman</i>	
On Translation, Intuition, and the Potency of Sonic-Spatial Relations	99
<i>Geoffrey Thün</i>	
Surveying a (De)Bordering of Indeterminacy	113
<i>Chelle Macnaughtan</i>	

39 Hz Reconceptualising Topography: Sound as an Architectural Design Medium	119
<i>Brendan Murray</i>	
Section III: Instruments	
A Space for Sound: The Rise, Fall and Fallout of the Concert as a Primary Space for Listening	125
<i>David Prior</i>	
Media Acoustics: Sounds of the American Public Library	135
<i>Shannon Mattern</i>	
The Loudspeaker: Mediator of Ideas in Spatial Settings	145
<i>Mary C. Wright</i>	
The Auditory: A Sound Observatory	157
<i>Frances Crow</i>	
Mix House	161
<i>Ben Rubin, Joel Sanders, and Karen Van Lengen</i>	
The Architecture Of Pianos	167
<i>Stephen Birkett</i>	
Multiple Scales: Sounding Forms from Instruments to Skylines	185
<i>Ted Sheridan</i>	
Between Architecture and Music: A Shelter Nailed Up out of our Darkest Longing	193
<i>Dereck Revington</i>	
Contributors	205
Index	213

List of Figures

All images courtesy of the authors unless otherwise noted.

Figure 1-1. Abandoned warehouse, Montréal.	16
Figure 1-2. Grain silos Montréal.	17
Figure 2-1. Mailers. Left to right: USG&T; LAACM. Photos courtesy of Brennan McGaffey.	28
Figure 2-2. LAACM, payload rockets. Photo courtesy of Brennan McGaffey.	30
Figure 2-3. LAACM, diagram of launch mechanics. Photo courtesy of Brennan McGaffey.	31
Figure 3-1. Left to right: Caesar’s Palace, Las Vegas; New York, New York, Las Vegas.	39
Figure 3-2. Dark passage, Bada -Imambara, Lucknow, India.	40
Figure 3-3. Left to right: Video display in a dark room; A series of spaces defined by light and sound. <i>Kiasma</i> , Helsinki.	41
Figure 3-4. Corridor. <i>Kiasma</i> , Helsinki.	42
Figure 3-5. View from the top, into the eye of the building. <i>Kiasma</i> , Helsinki.	43
Figure 4-1. Left to right: Venice and the San Marco basin; the extrados of the Basilica domes; Interior of the church with the two pulpits. Photo Böhm, Venice.	45
Figure 4-2. Left to right: section of the Basilica San Marco through the transept nave; plan; section trough the main nave. Images courtesy of the Procuratoria of San Marco.	46
Figure 4-3. Reflected standing waves.	47
Figure 4-4. A sound produced inside the basilica produces the image of a virtual space extending beyond the bounds of real space.	48
Figure 4-5. Visualisations of the acoustic space of the Basilica San Marco.	51
Figure 4-6. Reflected sources around the perimeter of the church, which generate concentric surfaces extending into the distance. The reflected sources describe an imaginary space which distorts the perception of the actual space.	52
Figure 5-1. Leap Frog analysis—precisely mapping the musical events.	59
Figure 5-2. The Vehicle.	59

Figure 5-3. Chromatic Encoding - Schema A, Take 4.	60
Figure 5-4. Chromatic Encoding - Stage 4, Take 30.	60
Figure 5-5. Chromatic Encoding - Stage 9, Take 4.	60
Figure 5-6. Chromatic Encoding - Stage 9, Take 10.	60
Figure 5-7. Chromatic Encoding - Stage 9, Take 10.	61
Figure 6-1. Graphic analysis of John Coltrane's "Giant Steps."	65
Figure 6-2. Two-dimensional compositions derived from Coltrane's "Giant Steps."	66
Figure 6-3. Transformation from two to three dimensions.	66
Figure 6-4. Rotation into tower form.	66
Figure 6-5. Design of the tower base using an intuitive process.	67
Figure 6-6. South elevation.	67
Figure 7-1. Paula Horrigan and Margaret McAvin. Visual book and design for Clute Memorial Park in Watkin's Glen, New York. Image courtesy Paula Horrigan.	76
Figure 7-2. Peter Cook, <i>Bloch City</i> . Image courtesy of Peter Cook.	77
Figure 7-3. Peter Cook, <i>Bloch City</i> . Image courtesy of Peter Cook.	77
Figure 8-1. Coop Himmelb(l)au, UFA Cinema, Dresden, (1993-1998).	87
Figure 8-2. Blixa Bargeld/Einstürzende Neubauten. Score for "Vanadium I Ching," (1984). [Strategies Against Architecture II CD booklet]. Song originally appeared on Einsturzende Neubauten's <i>Zeichnungen des Patienten O.T.</i> (1984). Image courtesy Blixa Bargeld.	92
Figure 9-1. Video stills from preliminary water/ink reversal studies in response to DeJonnette's "Gateway"	105
Figure 9-2. Preparation, casting and storage of individual ice sheets with the Canadian winter as willing accomplice and final installation at West Courtyard, School of Architecture.	105
Figure 9-3. Base lighting detail, and one of a series of interior studies of the Gateway Vessel. Students: Huff, Storus, Triebner.	105
Figure 9- 4. Preliminary model studies .	107
Figure 9-5. Manufacturing components; image of rift opening at final casting and burnished surface. The sensuous flesh-like finish of the final Blanchard Vessel. Students: Davis, Moore, Truss.	107

- Figure 9-6. Preliminary studies in steel and latex, in response to “Frates” by Arvo Part. 107
Image of final vessel in blackened steel mesh and cast latex steel.
Detail at latex seam.
- Figure 9-7. View of interior from below installed Vessel (after Borromini). 107
Students: Beaulieu, Graham, Hashimoto, Patterson.
- Figure 9-8. Luminous interior at landscape array in response to Montego Bay Spleen 109
by St. Germain. Steel, paraffin wax, black walnut, incandescent luminare.
Students: Kalfakis, Kalt, Navrady.
- Figure 9-9. Skin of tripe and its other in cast plaster. Students: Harris-Brandts, 109
Horwood, Maemura.
- Figure 9-10. Sewn vessel in stainless steel cloth and projected light studies during 109
installation. Students: Fenuta, Gould, McCallum.
- Figure 9-11. Light Experimentation - work in process, Wrapped in a skin of sound — 111
textile vessel in response to Thelonious Monk’s “Off Minor.”
- Figure 9-12. Perspective study at Exterior public space sequence adjacent to 111
street space, glass chamber with resonating rod installation and
variable enclosure and release at exterior folly in folded sheet steel.
Student: Jones.
- Figure 9-13. Perspective study at entry to experimental sound stage, interior study at 111
aperture lounge perspective. Student: Wilson. Image courtesy of the author.
- Figure 10-1. Etching from the series *Être en Vol*. 114
- Figure 10-2. Compagnie Phillipe Genty in rehearsal at the Grant Street Theatre, 114
Victorian College of the Arts, Melbourne Australia, December 2006.
- Figure 10-3. 74’56” (*Space in the Sound of Architecture*), image from exhibition 115
at Ryerson, June 2006.
- Figure 10-4. 74’56” (*Space in the Sound of Architecture*), exhibition at the Über Gallery 115
Melbourne Australia, December 2005. Photo courtesy Robert Colvin.
- Figure 10-5. *AI (Sound in the Space of Architecture)*. 117
- Figure 11-1. Sonic Resonance Experiment. Reconceptualised as a liquid medium, 120
the dormant site topography was stimulated in real-time via persistent
resonant frequencies propagated toward the lower threshold of aural
perception. At 39Hz a sequence of recurrent morphologies emerged as
potential strategies for the site.
- Figure 11-2. A digital reconstruction of the surface matrix displayed inherent 121
Architectonic capacity.

Figure 11-3. Achieving large trafficable spans over the subterranean programmatic elements and resolving the public domain.	121
Figure 11-4. The existing perspectival space composed by Walter Burley Griffin recomposed into a complex urban topography by foreshortening and obscuring the vanishing point to provoke a sensory engagement between the self and the immediate environment.	122
Figure 14-1. Tupperware speaker from <i>Project Arbol: Deer-B-Gone</i> .	147
Figure 14-2. <i>Klangfeld</i> . Installation by Christina Kubisch. Photo courtesy of Christina Kubisch.	148
Figure 14-3. A brass tube from Ted Apel's <i>Irresonance</i> . Photo courtesy of Ted Apel.	149
Figure 14-4. Example of sound in motion in the Soundcube from Bernhard Leitner's <i>Ton:Raum</i> . Image courtesy of Bernhard Leitner.	151
Figure 14-5. <i>Serpentinata</i> . Sound sculpture/architecture by Bernhard Leitner. Photo courtesy of Bernhard Leitner.	152
Figure 14-6. <i>Still/Life</i> . Installation by Robin Minard at the Mattress Factory, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Photo Courtesy of the Mattress Factory.	153
Figure 15-1. <i>The Auditory: A Sound Observatory</i> . © liminal.	158
Figure 15-2. The auditor's experience. © liminal.	158
Figure 15-3. Images showing an hemispherical cut, through a series of dodecahedron and tetraikaidecahedron clusters. © Price & Myers 3D Engineering.	159
Figure 15-4. Plan (left) and elevation showing structural principles. © Price & Myers 3D Engineering.	159
Figure 16-1. Mix House Exterior.	163
Figure 16-2. Mix House Exterior Section.	163
Figure 16-3. Mix House, Window Section.	164
Figure 16-4. Mix House, Interior.	164
Figure 16-5. Mix House, Detail Section.	165
Figure 16-6. Mix House, Plan.	165
Figure 17-1. A contemporaneous harpsichord and piano pair by Cristofori, 1726. The external forms of both instruments are almost identical. Images courtesy of David Sutherland, Ann Arbor MI.	169
Figure 17-2. A Gottfried Silbermann piano ca. 1746. Neues Palais, Potsdam. Image courtesy of David Sutherland.	171

- Figure 17-3. A modern reproduction (Paul Poletti, Antwerp, 1999) of a piano after Anton Walter, 1780. Image courtesy of Paul Poletti, Barcelona. 171
- Figure 17-4. An exact modern copy of an empire style piano by Johann Fritz, Vienna, ca. 1815 (Christopher Clarke, Cluny, France 1981). Compare this to the architectural style of Fritz about five years later (Fig. 17-5). Image courtesy of Chris Clarke, Cluny France. 173
- Figure 17-5. An exact modern copy of a Biedermeier style piano by Johann Fritz, Vienna, 1820 (Paul Poletti, Antwerp, 2000). Compare this to the architectural style of Fritz about five years earlier (Fig. 17-4). Image courtesy of Paul Poletti. 173
- Figure 17-6. A tree diagram showing the relationships in the design solution space for pianos, with several key geometric properties varied: orientation of the strings, relative orientation of keys to strings, case shape, and strike configuration. 174
- Figure 17-7. A Giraffe piano by Andre Stein, Vienna, ca. 1810. Image courtesy of the Smithsonian Institution. 175
- Figure 17-8. An American square piano by Daniel Thomas ca 1840. Image courtesy of Ann Beetem-Acker, Savannah GA. 175
- Figure 17-9. A half-oval piano by J.F. Rainer & Co., Whitby Ontario, ca 1870. Image courtesy of Ann Beetem-Acker. 177
- Figure 17-10. A Wornum 'pocket grand', London, 1837. In this up-striking down-striker grand piano the strings, which are below the soundboard, are in a separate casehinged to, and independent from, the case holding the action mechanism. 177
- Figure 17-11. A Chickering cocked hat grand piano, Boston, 1858. The shape of the case is a truncated triangle. 179
- Figure 17-12. The 1777 vis-a-vis piano-harpsichord by Andreas Stein, Augsburg. One end is a double manual harpsichord with an additional keyboard that can be coupled by trackers to play the independent piano keyboard at the other end. 179
- Figure 17-13. Construction of a three-metre long post-modern piano frame at the University of Waterloo, Piano Design Lab. 181
- Figure 17-14. The prototype post-modern piano frame after grinding weld surfaces and finishing with industrial primer. The frame will be used in the Piano Design Lab for experimental development of other piano components. 181
- Figure 18.1. *Sound Design for Loisaída*. Parabolic Reflectors. 186
- Figure 18.2. *Sound Design for Loisaída*. Xylophonic Roof. 187

Figure 18-3. <i>Sound Design for Loisaida</i> . Lamellephonic Tower.	188
Figure 18-4. <i>Sound Design for Loisaida</i> . Aeolian Coil.	189
Figure 18-5, top to bottom. <i>Metropolitan Metronome</i> —Existing (left) and proposed mass damper; <i>Metropolitan Metronome</i> —Plan.	190
Figure 18-6. <i>Metropolitan Metronome</i> —West Elevation.	191
Figure 18-7. <i>Metropolitan Metronome</i> —Perspective View. I	192
Figure 19-1. Resonance and Rhythm.	198
Figure 19-2. <i>The Luminous Veil</i> .	199
Figure 19-3. <i>The Luminous Veil</i> .	201
Figure 19-4. <i>The Luminous Veil</i> .	203

Introduction:

In the Place of Sound

Colin Ripley

In early June 2006, a group of over one hundred artists and researchers met for a three-day conference in the Architecture Building at Ryerson University in Toronto, Canada, to discuss—from as many different viewpoints as possible—the varying relationships between sound and space. This conference was part of *soundaXis*, a city-wide festival involving most of Toronto's new music community and organised by the Toronto Coalition of New Music Presenters, chaired by Daniel Cooper. Of particular interest to the architecture community, and the primary impetus for the development of the Ryerson conference, was the decision to focus the festival around the work of Iannis Xenakis, who, in addition to being an important composer in the last half of the twentieth century, was also an architect of some consequence during the same period. Moreover, in Xenakis' body of work, these two creative modes often inform one another, with ideas from musical composition realized as architectural form. *soundaXis* naturally developed, therefore, as a festival of sound and space, or music and architecture, with the capitalized X from Xenakis representing the intersection of these two modes. Concerts were presented of music that was composed with particular spatiality in mind, or in spaces not normally considered as concert venues; a musicology conference was held to discuss the work of Xenakis; and the Ryerson conference, *Architecture|Music|Acoustics*—from which the papers in this volume have been taken—specifically addressed the intersection of these disciplines.

Presenters at the *A|M|A* conference came from very diverse backgrounds with widely divergent approaches to studying the relationship between sound and space. Presenters included acoustic engineers; architectural theorists and historians; and practicing architects whose work engaged in one way or another with issues of sound or acoustics. Presentations were also given by composers, sound artists, and urbanists. Special focus sessions were held on acoustic ecology and on the use of sound in architectural education. While most presentations took the form of the delivery of papers with visual—and occasionally sonic—aids, some took the form of demonstrations or even performances of musical works written expressly for the conference. Four keynote speakers—R. Murray Schafer, Juhani Pallasmaa, Bernhard Leitner, and Bob Essert—provided spark and grounding for the proceedings.

Out of this amazing barrage of ideas, during discussions after concerts and over dinner, a realization emerged among many presenters at the conference that—although this may be an overstatement—a new field of study was in the process of being born. Although most, if not all, of the artists and researchers who came to this con-

ference were and are engaged in a study of some aspect of the relationship between sound and space, many were not aware—or only tangentially aware—of similar work coming from other points of view. For many of us, this conference was our first opportunity to come together as a community of scholars, our first chance to meet each other. Several presenters mentioned to me the difficulty of working in a field that has no recognized journal; while research is published, in architectural journals, musicology journals, or journals of cultural studies, there is to my knowledge no journal dedicated to this area of study, and hence no organized or recognized body of literature. This book could be seen, perhaps, as a first step toward establishing such a literature and, with it, a common understanding of the issues at stake. The sheer diversity of approaches to the question results, at the moment (and this too was clearly evident at the A|M|A conference), in a certain amount of talking at cross-purposes, a certain degree of incommensurability, between different points of view. Without a common literature, it is even difficult to know how to pose questions.

Which raises, of course, a significant question: is the study of the relationships between sound and space important? At this time, when seemingly every aspect of our existence has its own defined domain of academic study, do we really need another?

It appears to me that on a very simple, pragmatic level, the answer to this question must be yes. We know, for example, that every so often an architect becomes interested in the use of sound in his or her design work; anyone who has taught in a school of architecture is aware of this tendency among students. Without a body of literature, this interest always must start from the beginning with each new exploration; no real progress is possible. Such a body of literature should entail access not only to previous architectural explorations in the realm of sound, but also explorations into space by soundmakers—sound artists and composers. Without such access, the architectural explorations are likely to never move beyond a dilettantish amateurism. We need this body of literature, this field of study, in order to do this work.

On a less pragmatic level, we can safely state, I think, that the study of the relationships between sound and space is important, although we do not yet know what the practical results of such a study may be. Sound and space form a critical couple in our everyday environment: no sound exists outside of space, and no space is ever truly silent. Sound and space mutually reinforce one another in our perception; the qualities of a space affect how we perceive a sound and those of a sound affect how we perceive a space. Space and sound are inextricably linked in our experience of what it is to exist in the world.

As human beings, however, we are not simply passive preceptors of either sound or space, but in fact active creators of both. Architects have often been concerned with the acoustic qualities of the spaces they design—this is not a particularly new idea. However, our ability to control and manipulate the soundscapes of our buildings—through technical and other means—is becoming more and more sophisticat-

ed. The ubiquity of artificial sound in the built environment points to an increased importance for architects to understand the meaning and effect of spatial sound.

If this is true within buildings that we design, it is equally true in the environment as a whole. Increased sound levels in the environment may not have the dramatic impact of the end of oil or climate change, but nonetheless remain an important part of humanity's impact on the environment. The soundscapes of our modern cities form a backdrop to the everyday lives of billions of people, yet remain largely unstudied, despite the pioneering (and increasing) efforts of the acoustic ecology community.

Nor is an awareness of, or interest in, the sound|space nexus new. Within the Judeo-Christian tradition, this interest goes back as far as the creation of the world—place-making in the most extreme—by the Word of God. More concretely level, the fall of the walls of Jericho as a result of the sound of Joshua's trumpets could not be a clearer example of this relationship—or, for that matter, of the uneasy, fraught condition that it holds (more on this later). Although the history of this intersection and of its study has been discussed by a number of authors (see for example Sheridan and Van Lengen, 2003; Blesser and Salter, 2007), it is worth a brief mention here.

A Brief History of the Study of Sound and Space

Despite my invocation of mythological origins, the history of the sound|space nexus is usually traced back to Vitruvius' reference to the need for an architect to understand the harmonic properties of music from a mathematical perspective, or his brief discussion of acoustic considerations in the design of theatres. The relationship between the high reverberation times of medieval cathedrals and the development of plainsong—and of counterpoint in the less acoustically live churches of the German reformation—has been pointed out by a number of authors (see for example Rasmussen, 1959, Chapter X).

With the increased emphasis on vision in the Renaissance, architectural thought moved away from a consideration of sound. Exceptions include the famous Whispering Gallery (completed 1698) at the base of the dome in Christopher Wren's St. Paul's Cathedral, and the proposals by Athanasius Kircher for listening devices at an architectural scale (meant, in the main, for gathering intelligence). Meanwhile, the French monk Marin Mersenne, a correspondent with Descartes, made a study of acoustic lenses—in essence, architecturally scaled rooms with explicit and unusual acoustic properties—following the work of the latter with respect to optical lenses. Frances Yates (1969) has traced the transition from the sound-based form of the Elizabethan playhouse, built for the telling of stories, to the optical devices of the Jacobean theatres of Inigo Jones, designed for the presentation of spectacle, while Bruce Smith (1999) has attempted to reconstruct, primarily from literary sources, the soundscape in which those playhouses and theatres were built.

By the late nineteenth century, musical performance culture, as traced by Jacques Attali (1977/1985), had moved fully into the bourgeois space of the concert hall. The acoustic properties of these highly technological spaces became critical, leading to the development (primarily by Walter Sabine) of the mathematical formulae for the calculation of reverberation time that underlie the modern scientific study of acoustics (see, for example, Thompson, 2002). Partly as a result, any serious discussion of the acoustic properties of architectural spaces in the modern world moved into the hands of acoustic engineers.

As Thompson recounts the history, a typically engineering-based approach relying on numerically quantifiable sound levels and their control—rather than on the cultural or artistic meaning of environmental sound—is used to resolve the second significant sound-based issue in the modern world, namely the increased noise of the modern city. As Schafer (1977/1994, p. 82) put it, “the internal combustion engine now provides the fundamental sound of contemporary civilisation.”

A consideration of sound is virtually absent in the pioneering Modern architecture of the 1920s and 1930s in Europe, although Amedeo Petrilli (2001) has analysed a concern with acoustics in Le Corbusier’s projects for both the League of Nations in Geneva (1927–28) and the Palace of the Soviets in Moscow (1931). Musical instruments—primarily pianos—do appear in some of Le Corbusier’s sketches of domestic interiors of the period, but one suspects that they are there to temper his radical architecture with a solid bourgeois respectability rather than out of any actual consideration of music. Sound does not appear—or music, or acoustics—in Gropius’ Bauhaus curriculum, although Clement Jewitt (2000) has traced the history of music at the Bauhaus, and notes that the important Dada composer Stepan Wolpe was a Bauhaus student. Sound would, of course, have been an important element of Oskar Schlemmer’s theatrical work.

In the decades after the Second World War, the two relationships (sound|space, music|architecture) increased in importance for both architects and musicians. One could cite a number of factors which led to this phenomenon, including the development of electronic sound transmission and production equipment, a focus on spaces for the performing arts within architectural culture, an increased tendency towards abstraction in musical composition, the new omnipresence of the sound film as total environment, and the development within philosophy of phenomenology. Whatever the factors that brought this into being, the period of roughly 1950 to 1975 brought about a number of touchstones in this history.

The first of these, within architecture, is the total environment of the Philips Pavilion at the 1958 Brussels World’s Fair, which came about in part from the presence within Le Corbusier’s studio of Iannis Xenakis. The Pavilion—discussed in Mary C. Wright’s paper in this volume—was in essence an architectural setting for Edgard Varèse’s *Poème électronique*, composed for the event. While Xenakis’ precise involve-

ment in the project remains uncertain (unlike his work on window frame compositions at Le Corbusier's Monastery of La Tourette of roughly the same period), Jim Lutz (2004) has pointed out the remarkable graphic similarity between the score for Xenakis' *Metastasis*, written at about the same time, and the sectional figure of the Pavilion (for a thorough discussion of the Philips Pavilion, see Trieb, 1996).

This period also saw—or heard—a number of important pieces of music which developed specifically from the spatial qualities of their performance. John Cage's 4'33" of 1952 was intended to bring the sounds of the performance space into play in another sort of total environment (see Kahn, 1997; LaBelle, 2006), while Alvin Lucier's *I am Sitting in a Room* of 1969 plays back and records a spoken piece over and over until the words melt away into the acoustic profile of the performance space. Both pieces—like Steve Reich's *Pendulum Music* (1968)—have the perhaps surprising effect, given their construction, of making the listener a participant in a psychological drama. As LaBelle (p. 127) says of *I am Sitting in a Room*, these works suggest that "architecture is intensely bound up with how and in what ways the individual may grapple with the difficulties of being in the world."

Meanwhile, media theorist Marshall McLuhan, in an article published in *Canadian Architect* magazine in 1961, presented another vision of a total environment by pointing out that architectural spaces such as theatres already function as such environments. McLuhan's work can perhaps be seen as building on that of the phenomenologists, such as Merleau-Ponty (1945/2002), while prefiguring discussions to come regarding cyborgs and prosthetics, re-casting hearing as a technology with which to understand and act on the world. Perhaps the most complete iteration of a total environment constructed from sound to date has been the *Dream House* of La Monte Young, which has been in operation since 1964 (see LaBelle, pp. 68-75).

While these thinkers and artists were concerned with the construction of total environments through the use, at least in part, of sound, others became concerned with our relationship to the larger acoustic environment. The field of acoustic ecology was formed in the early 1970s, initiated by the study of urban soundscapes by the Canadian composer R. Murray Schafer and others (most notably Barry Truax and Hildegard Westerkamp) at Simon Fraser University. Schafer's work in this field, including his important text *The Soundscape* (1977/1994) is based strongly on the ear, on listening to the sounds of the environment, rather than on the production of sound (despite, or perhaps in complement to, his well-deserved reputation as a composer). Projects such as the World Soundscape Project, in addition to providing an important archive of environmental sound, have led to the development of tools for the analysis of these sounds—and of a theoretical framework for understanding and working with them, leading to the development of the field of soundscape design—or at least for proposals for the development of this field.

Meanwhile, the 1960s also saw the formation of a field of artistic production which was to become known as sound art. As can be readily understood from even a brief discussion of important pieces of sound art, whether LaMonte Young's *Dream House*, Christina Kubisch's long string instruments, or Robin Minard's installations of piezo-electric speakers, sound art has a tendency to react to and interact with the specifics of its site. This is equally true of the work of some notable artists whose work only occasionally makes use of sound, such as Janet Cardiff's *40-Part Motet*, which creates an acoustic space in parallel to the physical space of its installation, or David Rokeby's *Very Nervous System*, which energizes a room to make sounds in response to the movements of people in the room.

While the work of Bernhard Leitner may seem to place him in the camp of the sound artists, a more accurate term—and one that Professor Leitner prefers—is *sound architect*. Over a remarkably consistent and directed career spanning four decades, Leitner has concentrated not on the production of sound for its own sake, but on the use of sound to produce architectonic structures: walls, columns, domes made of sound (see Traber, 1998).

By the beginning of the current millennium, we were thus faced with a situation in which the relationships between sound and space, music and architecture were being studied and scrutinized through a number of divergent threads, with corresponding strands of artistic and musical production. It is remarkable, given this activity, how little corresponding work was being produced from within the discipline of architecture. In terms of architectural writing about sound, we find in addition to a few scattered journal papers two small books, both dating from 1994: Elizabeth Martin's *Pamphlet Architecture 16: Architecture as a Translation of Music* and a special issue of *A+U: Questions of Perception—Phenomenology of Architecture* with articles by Juhani Pallasmaa and Steven Holl (as well as an article by Alberto Perez-Gomez; reprinted as Holl, 2006). A few well-known architects—notably, again, Steven Holl (*Stretto House*) but also Renzo Piano (IRCAM) and Peter Zumthor (*Swiss Sound Box*) have attempted to bring ideas from the sonic into their architectural practices. On the whole, however, this issue has been almost completely ignored within architectural thought and production.

The Current Condition

Two key themes arose from the discussions that took place at Ryerson in June 2006 which have become the foundation for the development of this volume, both of which deal with the difficult nature of the discussion. Both of these key concepts, it seems to me, are tightly bound up with the failure of the architectural community to really engage with sound.

The first of these key ideas has to do with the fraught nature of the relationships in question, the cultural unease that develops when sound and space collide. While this term was raised at the conference with specific reference to the experience of visitors to the Philips Pavilion, it soon became clear—and will be clear to any reader of this volume—that it is in fact a more general condition. In part, this fraught condition is due to an extreme dissimilarity between sound-making and the construction of buildings: sound is lightweight, inexpensive (or free) and leaves no (or few) lasting traces, while buildings are heavy, expensive, and more or less permanent. It could also be, in part, due to the extreme disjunction between hearing and vision in our society, rooted, as Juhani Pallasmaa points out, in the different manners by which we perceive sound and light. Sound and vision therefore stand on two sides of a cultural divide, with the sonic arts—music and sound art—on one side and the visual arts—including architecture—on the other. Whatever the cause, one could argue that it is precisely because of the tenuous, difficult nature of this relationship that those who construct our built environment—and architects in particular—can benefit from a more complete consideration of sound; thinking about sound forces the architect to consider the fleeting, the changeable, that which cannot be drawn. Thinking about sound reminds the architect that human beings do not live in silence.

Thinking about sound, though, proves to be not such an easy thing for architects. In fact, the second key concept—the problem of representation—explicitly arises in relation to this problem. Architectural culture trades in representation—that is to say, architects draw (and of course one can't draw sound). With sound, however, perhaps because of its transient nature, it seems to be necessary to deal with the thing itself and not with its representation. Translation thus becomes a dominant mode of production: faced with a phenomenon which resists representation, architects first translate it into a form—graphic, sculptural, or conceptual—which can be represented. Those few intrepid explorers who resist the deferral of translation and insist on confronting the phenomenon of sound, meanwhile, are soon stymied by the lack of tools or, for that matter, vocabulary with which to work.

However, there are indications (beyond the present volume and the conference of which it is a partial record) that a good number of artists, architects and researchers are now engaged in finding solutions to these problems. Certainly the time is right: one could point to a renewed interest in environmentalism for example, or the resurgent interest in a phenomenological approach to architecture. What is more, recent technical developments such as ubiquitous computing have raised interest in responsive architectures, while technical developments in the production of sound—such as the development of narrow-cone directional loudspeakers—have made a more intentional engagement with spatial sound a more realistic proposition.

Not surprisingly, the past few years have seen a number of important books discussing aspects of this multi-stranded area of study. Emily Thompson's *The Sound-*

scape of Modernity (2002) delves into the relationship between architecture and the acoustic sciences in the Modern era. Juhani Pallasmaa's *The Eyes of the Skin* (2005), a re-working of his *A+U* article of a decade before, looks to elucidate a phenomenological approach to architecture understood not simply as a visual art. Brandon LaBelle's long awaited (in the field) *Background Noises* provides a thorough discussion of the history of modern sound art, focusing on the spatial aspects of that field. Meanwhile, Jean-François Augoyard and Henry Torgue's *Sonic Experience: a guide to everyday sounds* (2006; French version 1995) attempts to exhaustively categorize the sounds of our everyday experience. Finally, for the moment, Barry Blesser and Linda-Ruth Salter's *Spaces Speak, are you Listening* (2007) raises a certain call to arms, so to speak, for the development of what the authors call *aural architecture*. Beyond the books, there have also been interesting experiments in built form, such as NOX's *Son-O-House* (2004), and the development of a few research centres working in this field, including the Centre for Research on Sonic Space and the Urban Environment (CRESSON) in Grenoble, France and RMIT University's Spatial Information Architecture Laboratory Sound Studios in Melbourne, Australia. This volume, and the conference it grew out of, have to be seen as part of this increased interest in the sound|space nexus within the world of architecture.

In the Space of Sound

The papers in this volume have all developed from presentations made at the A|M|A conference in 2006. They have been chosen primarily for their ability to shed light on or bring to the fore the overall themes identified above. A number of the papers have seen significant revision from the original presentations. In addition to the twelve full-length papers in the volume, we have also included seven graphic essays which represent the work (also originally presented at the A|M|A conference) of architects who are actively seeking to work with sound.

The book is divided into three sections: *Ghost Spaces*, *Translations*, and *Instruments*. Although the distinctions among these three sections are somewhat arbitrary (several of the papers could fit well into all of the sections), the book has been organised with four papers in each section, along with a number of graphic essays. The first section presents chapters which discuss, in one way or another, the cultural unease that arises at the intersection of sound and space. The book opens with a chapter in which Anna Friz discusses the ghostly acoustic spaces of vanished modernity, discovered either on sound walks or on the radio dial. Anthony Elms reinforces the notion of the transient nature of sonic space through a discussion of the even more ephemeral work of Chicago artist Brennan McGaffey, likening the resulting space to Jennifer Bloomer's concept of a minor architecture. Upali Nanda's analysis of Steven Holl's *Kiasma* museum in Helsinki discusses one root of this ghostly unease: the confusion that can arise

when aural and visual perceptions do not cohere. Nanda sees, and brings forth for us, the possibilities this offers for architectural design. In the final chapter in this section, Matteo Melioli uses software meant for the calculation of fluid flow to visualize the ghostly acoustic spaces within the Basilica San Marco in Venice.

Section II: *Translations* starts off with two graphic essays which try, each in its own way, to translate musical structure into architectural form. Bennett Neiman and Tomek Smierzchalski make use of principles of jazz, translated through precisely determined methods of translation to derive architectural, or at least architectonic projects. This section also ends with two graphic essays, which look at translation in somewhat different ways: Brendan Murray makes use of resonant frequencies at the lower end of human perception to generate topographies, while Chelle Macnaughtan produces haunting drawings and installations from perceiving the architecture of Daniel Libeskind through the writings of Jacques Derrida and the music of John Cage. Derrida's method of *deferral* becomes, for Macnaughtan, a means of investigating not the artefacts being translated, but translation itself.

Between these graphic bookends, three authors take on the issue of translation between sound and space, music and architecture. Galia Hanoach-Roe starts off with a chapter that discusses the relation between music and architecture, using the score as an intermediate (graphic) device that opens up the possibility of a direct translation between the two forms. Michael Chapman then brings up a second form of translation—that between whole works, rather than components—in his discussion of the music of *Einstürzende Neubauten* read against the architecture of Coop Himmelb(l)au. Finally, Geoffrey Thün uses the work of his students to discuss translation as a concept, suggesting a third, *empathetic* mode of translation.

In the third and final section of the book, the authors discuss projects—built or unbuilt—in which sound plays an important role not as the basis for translation into architectural form, but in a direct, experiential manner. In a way, all of these buildings and projects can be thought of as instruments. David Prior starts off with a look at the development of the concert hall in light of economic and social theories, and considers the future of the concert hall in a world dominated by the iPod. Shannon Mattern broadens this discussion with her study of the acoustic landscape of contemporary American libraries; Mary C. Wright follows with a look at the role of the loudspeaker in contemporary sound-making. These chapters are followed by two graphic essays which consider how we might use architecture to listen to the world: Frances Crow's *Auditory* presents an architecturally-scaled device for listening to the surrounding soundscape, while *Mix House* by Ben Rubin, Joel Sanders, and Karen Van Lengen goes one step further with the design of a house that enables its residents to listen.

Finally, the last three chapters in the book discuss instruments not for listening, but for making sound. Stephen Birkett examines formal strategies in the history of piano design, culminating in his own design for a post-modern piano, while Ted Sheri-

dan presents projects for the construction of musical instruments on the scale of the city—on the scale of building. The book ends, fittingly, with Dereck Revington's poetic and evocative exposition of his *Luminous Veil* in Toronto, surely one of the world's largest string instruments. Revington's chapter reminds us, once again, of the ephemeral nature of sound, the solidity of architectural objects, and the tenuous and temporary nature of human life in between.

The resulting chapters in this book provide a diverse and, hopefully, provocative collection of ideas and images. They are meant not so much as a comprehensive study of the sound|space nexus—such a study may not actually be possible—but as a place to begin the discussion.

Toronto, August 2007.

References

- Attali, J. (1985). *Noise: the political economy of music*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Augoyard, J. F., McCartney, A., et al. (2006). *Sonic experience: a guide to everyday sounds*. Montreal, Ithaca: McGill-Queen's University Press.
- Blessner, B. and Salter, L-R. (2007). *Spaces speak, are you listening? experiencing aural architecture*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Holl, S. (2006). *Questions of perception*. San Francisco, CA, William Stout Publishers. (Originally published as *A+U* special issue, 1994).
- Jewitt, C. (2000). Music at the Bauhaus, 1919-1933. *Tempo*, New Ser., No. 213. (Jul., 2000), pp. 5-11.
- Kahn, D. (1999). *Noise, water, meat: a history of sound in the arts*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- LaBelle, B. (2006). *Background noise: perspectives on sound art*. New York: Continuum International.
- Lutz, J. (2004). Thinking Outside the [Music] Box: Collaborations between Composers and Architects. *Changing Territories, New Cartographies: Proceedings of the 2004 Northeast Regional ACSA Conference*, October 2004, pp. 313-323.
- Martin, E. (1994). *Pamphlet Architecture 16: Architecture as a translation of music*. New York: Princeton Architectural Press.
- McLuhan, M. (1961). Inside the Five Sense Sensorium. *Canadian Architect*, Vol. 6, No.6, pp. 49-54.
- Merleau-Ponty, M., C. Smith, et al. (2002). *Phenomenology of perception*. London; New York: Routledge. (Original work published 1945).

- Pallasmaa, J. (2005). *The eyes of the skin: architecture and the senses*. Chichester, Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Academy; John Wiley & Sons.
- Petrilli, A. (2001). *Acustica e architettura: spazio, suono, armonia in Le Corbusier*. Venezia: Marsilio.
- Rasmussen, S. E. (1959). *Experiencing architecture*. Cambridge Mass.: M. I. T. Press.
- Schafer, R. M. (1994). *The soundscape: our sonic environment and the tuning of the world*. Rochester, Vermont: Destiny Books. (Originally published 1977).
- Sheridan, T. and Van Lengen, K. (2003). Hearing Architecture: Exploring and Designing the Aural Environment. *Journal of Architectural Education* 57(2): 37-44.
- Smith, B. R. (1999). *The acoustic world of early modern England: attending to the O-factor*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Thompson, E. A. (2002). *The soundscape of modernity: architectural acoustics and the culture of listening in America, 1900-1933*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Traber, C., Ed. (1998). *Bernhard Leitner - Sound:Space*. Ostfildern, Germany: Cantz Verlag.
- Treib, M. (1996). *Space calculated in seconds: The Philips Pavilion, Le Corbusier, Edgard Varese*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.
- Vitruvius, M. (1960). *Vitruvius: the ten books on architecture*. New York: Dover Publications.
- Yates, F. A. (1969). *Theatre of the world*. London: Routledge & K. Paul.

Acknowledgements

The editors would like to thank all the authors who have diligently prepared their papers for this publication, as well as all who provided moral or financial support to them. In particular, we would like to thank the following organisations for their support:

Ryerson University Faculty of Engineering, Architecture and Science
 Ryerson University Office of the Associate Vice President Academic
 Ryerson University Department of Architectural Science
 The Toronto Society of Architects

And the following individuals:

George Thomas Kapelos, Pat Bourque, Ellen Waterman, Gascia Ouzounian, Mikesh Muecke, Miriam Zack, Ian Hoffmann, Chelle Macnaughtan, Geoff Thün, David Lieberman, Nicolas Ryan, Liz Martin, Jason van Eyk, Paul Raff, Paul Dolick, Saman Soleimani-Deilamani, Michael Blois, June Komisar, Paul Poh, R. Murray Schafer, Barry Truax, Bernhard Leitner, Juhani Pallasmaa, Bob Essert, Ramani Ramakrishnan, Jessica Levine, Alexandria Kusniak, Sana Samanian.

Finally, special thanks to Daniel Cooper, instigator of soundaXis.